FCC Received June 28, 1993 @ 1:55 p.m.

ORIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RECEIVED
2	JUL - 2 1993
3	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION COMMISSION
4	Washington, D.C. 20554 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
5	
6	IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: MM DOCKET NO. 93-56
7	C. DEVINE MEDIA, INC.
8	Ogden, Utah
9	STREET STRYDER
10	Spanish Fork, Utah
11	•
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: June 17, 1993 VOLUME: I
25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1-16

1	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONUL - 2 1993
2	Washington, D.C. 20554 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
3	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
4	In Re Applications of:
5	C. DEVINE MEDIA, INC.
6	Ogden, Utah) MM Docket No. 93-56
7	STREET STRYDER)
8	Spanish Fork, Utah)
9	•
10	The above-entitled matter came on for a pre-hearing conference pursuant to notice before John M. Frysiak,
11	Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., on Tuesday, June 17, 1993, at 9:00 a.m.
12	APPEARANCES:
13	On behalf of C. Devine Media, Inc.:
14	AARON SHAINIS, Esquire
15	Shainis and Peltzman 1255 23rd Street, N.W.
16	Suite #500 Washington, D.C. 20037
17	On behalf of Mass Media Bureau:
18	Y. PAULETTE LADEN, Esquire
19	2025 M. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554
20	nubiting conf 5.0. 2005.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	PA	
4	Opening Statement by Judge Frysiak	3
5	Statement by Mr. Shainis	3
6	Statement by Ms. Laden	3
7		
8		
9		
10		:
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Conference Began: 9:00 a.m. Conference Ended: 9:20	a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: This is a prehearing conference for
3	MM Docket No. 93-56. May we note your appearances?
4	MR. SHAINIS: For C. Devine Media, Aaron Shainis.
5	MS. LADEN: For the Chief of the Mass Media Bureau,
6	Paulette Laden.
7	JUDGE FRYSIAK: No appearance for Street Stryder. I
8	guess for our consideration today we simply have the
9	outstanding motion to ask for a continuance of the
10	procedural case. The Bureau opposes the motion made by the
11	applicant, but the applicant hasn't considered or mentioned
12	anything concerning the forfeiture. How does that affect this
13	case?
14	MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, you'll attribute this with
15	a forfeiture. I don't believe if the state of this matter is
16	held pursuant to the distress sale, then I think the
17	forfeiture is obvious. I don't think that the, the distress
18	sale conference in addition to their being that, that type
19	of sanction, taking 75 percent of appraised value in addition
20	to that, having a forfeiture. I think if we went to hearing
21	on this, and if you felt that denial of renewal would not
22	be appropriate, then an alternate remedy would be the
23	forfeiture.
24	JUDGE FRYSIAK: What's the Bureau's position?
25	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I don't think that the

4

question has ever come up and I'm not prepared to say that we could not pursue the forfeiture if there were a distress sale.

As a practical matter, however, I would be very surprised if the Commission pursued a forfeiture if there were a distress sale. I think the procedures under the new forfeiture procedures -- I think the procedure is that the matter is referred to the Commission. I don't think the Bureau would make the decision, but, but again I would be very surprised if a forfeiture were issued in addition to a distress sale.

JUDGE FRYSIAK: How realistic is your distress sale?

MR. SHAINIS: Well, when you say realistic meaning how bona fide is it -- the intention, because --

1	a difficult time to find a minority purchaser.
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: What's your status with Street
3	Stryder?
4	MR. SHAINIS: Excuse me?
5	JUDGE FRYSIAK: What is your status with Street
6	Stryder?
7	MR. SHAINIS: What do you mean, status?
8	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, what connection do you have?
9	Do you have any connection?
10	MR. SHAINIS: No.
11	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Because that request of three
12	months
13	MR. SHAINIS: Yes. They what Stryder filed, Your
14	Honor, were comments on our motion. And essentially Stry if
15	I read it correctly, Stryder said they would support three
16	months and if which revisited if at the end of three
17	months an application was not on file or close to being filed,
18	at that point the suggestion was that to revisit it and
19	hopefully have more time. I think that's, that's the
20	interpretation I have. Is that your interpretation?
21	MS. LADEN: That's not my interpretation exactly.
22	The first part, I think, is correct. I'm not I don't agree
23	that the, that the motion anticipates that after three months
24	then there would be an automatic further extension.
25	MR. SHAINIS: I didn't say automatic. I said revisited

1	MS. LADEN: I, I think it does contemplate that, but
2	and, and I should say because we have not had an
3	opportunity because of the pleading cycle to comment on the
4	comments. Our concern and our opposition was two-fold.
5	First, that the time was too long and second that, that we
6	had a problem with having a partial distress sale. Having one
7	applicant have a distress sale and the applicant the other
8	applicant not. So since the Street Stryder motion
9	contemplates that both applicants would have a distress sale,
10	we would not object to a reasonable amount of time under those
11	circumstances. And three months does not seem unreasonable,
12	but we think that the period of time that has been requested
13	by Devine is too long. Perhaps the answer is to revisit it in
14	three months, but, but at that point, Your Honor, I think we
15	would object to a further extension unless there's some
16	concrete showing of efforts that have been made or, or, or how
17	far the distress sale I mean, identification of a buyer
18	perhaps. Some concrete steps towards a distress sale. I
19	don't think we should delay this indefinitely because of in
20	order to search for a buyer.
21	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Has Street Stryder made a motion for
22	a distress sale?
23	MR. SHAINIS: No, Your Honor. What was filed by
24	Stryder, and I assume you received a copy, were comments and
25	partial support of motions of of my motion for a

1	continuance of procedural dates. And in that motion, he
2	stated on page 2, I was going to put in a request for further
3	extension at the appropriate time like Devine I'm sorry.
4	Hold up however, I support. I'm sorry. Let me, let me
5	however, I support only a three-month continuance until
6	September 3rd, 1993, rather than the six-month suspension date
7	requested by Devine. Should three months prove too short a
8	time period to complete and file the distress sale
9	applications, I will join in a request for a further extension
10	at the appropriate time.
11	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, he does say in the that
12	he's about to file a motion.
13	MR. SHAINIS: I don't, I don't believe he says that.
14	I believe what he states is that it is his intention to file a
15	distress sale application to assign the to a licensed or
16	qualified minority owner pursuant to the Commission's 1978
17	distress sale policy statement. The contemplation that's
18	the same contemplation my client has, however, to the best of
19	my knowledge, neither party has, has a buyer who has signed an
20	agreement.
21	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, Mr. Shainis, I think why I
22	can accede to a three-month extension, with monthly reports.
23	Okay?
24	MR. SHAINIS: All right.
25	JUDGE FRYSIAK: But I would like for the, the matter

1 of the forfeiture clarified. The Bureau should take a
2 position and inform me of how it stands in the event of a

distress sale.

MS. LADEN: We'll do that, Your Honor.

5 MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, are you asking the Bureau

to say, say whether -- even with the distress sale, you would

7 have to -- or you would have to consider imposing a

8 forfeiture? Or recommending a forfeiture?

primarily involve the Bureau, in order -- the Commission's resources not have to be taxed. Specifically, we're contemplating -- because a distress sale does not involve an adjudication on the merits one way or the other, or the issues, and quite frankly my client may be interested at some future date in getting back involved in broadcasting. He would have issues left unresolved. At the present time, while

memories are clear, we are contemplating taking some limited depositions.

MS. LADEN: Your Honor, we -- I have to object to

MS. LADEN: Your Honor, we -- I have to object to that. It seems to me Devine can't have it both ways. He has not responded to our discovery request. That response is late. I know they have taken the position that they didn't need to respond to my discovery request, because they had filed this motion and this motion was pending. He can't have it both ways. He's going to engage in discovery, but he's not

that, that's unfair.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, who would you depose?

MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, the contemplation would be that we would just depose Steve Evans, Street Stryder, employees of the station at the time.

MS. LADEN: Your Honor --

MR. SHAINIS: And these depositions would not be taken in Washington. Obviously, the Bureau would have a right to attend if the Bureau wanted to, but I suspect the Bureau would not.

MS. LADEN: Your Honor, it's -- Mr. Shainis has no way of knowing what the Bureau's going to decide to do with the deposi-- whether to attend the depositions or not, any more than I do. Secondly, we would have to review the deposition transcripts, and I think what's happening here is that Devine is trying to use this procedure to establish a record so that he can later on use this record to get back into broadcasting. That's not how the distress sale procedure works. The reason there's no resolution on the merits on a distress sale is because it conserves resources and we never go to hearing. I don't think we can use this, this proceeding in order to build up a record. He either intends to go with a distress sale or he intends to go to hearing. I don't think they can have it both ways. If he intends to go with a distress sale, we're willing to concede -- we're willing to

	1 consent to a short extension of procedural dates, but we are
$\overline{}$	2 certainly not going to are not willing to allow him to
	3 pursue both avenues at the same time and engage in discovery
1	4 religion of the same time station an intention to so with a
	•
ſ	
() ,	
<u>}-</u> -	
_	
<u> </u>	
4	
, <u> </u>	
· ·	
•	
1	
· , .	
- <u> </u>	
<u>-</u>	
*	
,	

|set for August. He's asking for a continuance of all dates, so that we start the clock ticking again sometime in November 3 and in the meantime he continues to prosecute the case. don't know whether we should continue to prosecute the case or 5 prepare the case for hearing. He's asking for a continuance while apparently now withdrawing his election at this time to 7 go distress. 8 MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor --There's no basis for a continuance if he 9 MS. LADEN: 10 is not going to elect to go distress until the day of the hearing, then I would strenuously object to any continuance. 11 12 Then let's to go the hearing and we'll wait till then. 13 MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, all I was trying to do --14 I would like to leave my continuance motion in place as I said 15 to Ms. Laden, if we decide to pursue discovery, and I don't 16 think that's abusive of the process at all. I think they have 17 a right to find out the case that is against us and the 18 documents so far are, are not helpful in understanding the 19 full extent of the case. If we decide to pursue that then, so 20 that it's fair, we would respond to your interrogatories as 21 well as to -- interrogatories --22 JUDGE FRYSIAK: I guess the Bureau's position is is 23 that you're too conditional. They would like something 24 concrete.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

Well --

MR. SHAINIS:

25

1	MS. LADEN: Before we can support a continuance,
2	Your Honor, now he doesn't have to say anything concrete until
3	the day of the hearing, but he's asking Your Honor to grant a
4	continuance of all procedural dates and while the continuance
5	is in place he continues to engage in discovery. The
6	continuance is only going to apply to other people. It's only
7	going to apply to the date to the hearing dates, the
8	exchange dates, the dates that Your Honor was about to set
9	here this morning. He, he wants to continue to discover his
10	case while the continuance is in place. My position is he
11	can't have it both ways. If he wants a continuance fine.
12	We're willing to consent to it, but that means a continuance
13	of all activity. He doesn't engage in discovery and I don't
14	engage in discovery.
15	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. Okay. If I were to
16	grant a three-month extension, how much time would you then
17	require for discovery?
18	MS. LADEN: I'm finished with my discovery. All I
19	need is the response. I have not received my responses to
20	my dis as far as I know at this point, I don't want to say
21	I'm finished. Since we have not received any responses at all
22	from Devine, there may be further discovery once I see those.
23	So, I need time to look at that discovery and I need time
24	perhaps to file some further motions. But at this point, I
25	have begun my discovery. They have not begun theirs. And as

I said, I'm willing to agree to a, to a continuance for a 2 reasonable amount of time and stopping the clock on everything, but I'm not willing to agree to a partial 3 4 continuance where he gets to decide whether he's going to 5 engage in discovery and only if he does, then I have a right to my answers and if he doesn't, I don't. I don't, I don't 6 7 think he should call the shots on that. He's asking Your 8 Honor to, to issue an order granting a continuance and that's fine. But then Your Honor should continue all dates and all 10 procedures. If he wants to proceed with the rights -- he does 11 have a right to discover the case. He doesn't have a right to 12 get a continuance of all dates simultaneously. He does not 13 have a right to do that. If he wants to withdraw his motion for a continuance, fine, we'll go forward with discovery. But 14 15 I don't think he can have it both ways. 16 MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, I will leave a motion for 17 continuance in place. 18 JUDGE FRYSIAK: You will what? 19 MR. SHAINIS: I will leave the motion in place. I'm 20 not withdrawing it. 21 JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. Well, okay. 22 consideration here, I think, is what I'm -- I'm inclined to 23 agree with what the Bureau is saying and I will -- I could 24 accede to a three-month extension. I just don't know how much 25 time to give you after that for discovery.

1	MR. SHAINIS: Well, if we do not have an application
2	on file by the end of three months, pursuant to the distress
3	sale policy, I suggest that we have a conference at that point
4	and then set dates.
5	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, that's my inclination too. We
6	can take it from there. Okay. I will grant your motion for a
7	continuance and I'll give you an extension for three months,
8	at which time we will then meet to decide further procedural
9	dates for further consideration. We are presently
10	scheduled for a hearing on the 24th of August, so we could
11	meet the 24th of November, the day before Thanksgiving. All
12	right?
13	MS. LADEN: Your Honor is granting the continuance
14	until November?
15	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Pardon?
16	MS. LADEN: Your Honor is granting the continuance
17	until November?
18	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Till November 24th.
19	MS. LADEN: Yeah, because we had we, we, we would
20	oppose that long, Your Honor. We consented to an extension
21	till the beginning of September. But the end of November, in
22	our opinion, is too long. I didn't want to create the
23	impression that we consented to that length of time. When I
24	spoke of three months, I was speaking from today, not from the
25	date of the hearing, Your Honor. So I would prefer I would

No. of	4	or gebreuper.
	3	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, Mr. Shainis will be required
	•	<u> </u>
	4	to file monthly reports.
	_	am grature, whet to gorroot Vour Honor
<u> </u>		
-d- _{n-}		
•		
* 7		
,		
		• .
<u> </u>		
		
1		
		•
-		
		·
· ·		· -
7		
·		-
E		
_		

1 | suggest that we have a conference in September, the early part |

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

	C. DEVINE MEDIA, INC., et al.
Name	
MM DOCKET NO. 93	3-56
Docket No.	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	•
Place	
JUNE 17, 1993	
Date	
reporting by the above ident: provisions of the professional vertical work and have vertical to the tyrecording accompaning the tyrecording accomplished proofed tyrecording the tyrecording accomplished proofed tyrecording accomplished proofed tyrecording accomplished accomplished accomplished tyrecording accomplished tyrecording accomplished accomplished accomplished accomplished accomplished	and complete transcript prepared from the SOL KRASNER in attendance at ified proceeding, in accordance with applicable the current Federal Communications Commission's erbatim reporting and transcription Statement of erified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) expewritten transcript against the reporting or eplished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the expewritten transcript against the reporting or explished at the proceeding.
June 25, 1993	Martha K. Conner , Transcriber
	Free State Reporting, Inc.
June 28, 1993 Date	Diane S. Windell Proofreader Pree State Reporting, Inc.